

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/563,254 | 01/04/2006 | Eungje Lee | 29137.138.00 | 8675 | |
| 30827 7590 08/11/2009 MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP 1900 K STREET, NW | | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| | | | EMPIE, NATHAN H | | |
| WASHINGTO | DN, DC 20006 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1792 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/11/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| ion No. Applicant(s) | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 254 LEE ET AL. | | | | | | |
| r Art Unit | | | | | | |
| N H. EMPIE 1792 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| | NATHAN H. EMPIE | 1792 | | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | | | | | | |
| ⊠ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of N period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Mailing or Transmission dated |), which is after the | expiration of the | | | | |
| (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does | not constitute a proper reply under 3 | 7 CFR 1.113 (a) to | the final rejection. | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 of | Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | | | |
| (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). | | | | | | | |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was | s received on (with a Certifica | ate of Mailing or Tr | ansmission dated | | | | |
|), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). | eriod for payment of the issue fee (ar | id publication ree) s | et in the Notice of | | | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance | e of \$ is due. | | | | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no | ot been received. | | | | | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the No | tice of | | | | |
| (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | _(with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran | smission dated |), which is | | | | |
| (b) No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire i | nterest, or all of | | | | |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | attorney or agent (acting in a repres | entative capacity u | nder 37 CFR | | | | |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair | | e the period for see | king court review | | | | |
| 7. 🏻 The reason(s) below: | | | | | | | |
| Applicant's representative, the office of Mark Kreslo | off, confirmed on 8/5/09 that no re- | sponse has been | submitted. | | | | |
| /Michael Cleveland/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1792 | /N. H. E./ Examiner, Art Unit 1792 | | | | | | |

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)